



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,589	07/22/2004	Tadashi NAKATANI	040348	4588
23850	7590	01/24/2006	EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ROJAS, BERNARD
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2832		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/710,589	NAKATANI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bernard Rojas	2832

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3, 15 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07262004</u> | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Embodiment I, claims 1, 2 and 4-14 in the reply filed on 11/14/2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aigner et al. [US 6,734,770].

Claim 1, Aigner et al. discloses a micro-switching device comprising a base substrate [1]; a movable portion [9] including an anchor part [4] and an extending part, the anchor part being connected to the base substrate, the extending part extending from the anchor part and facing the base substrate; a movable contact part [71, 72] provided on the extending part on a side opposite to the base substrate; a first stationary contact electrode [31a, 32a] fixed to the base substrate and including a first contacting part facing the movable contact part; and a second stationary contact

electrode [31b, 32b] fixed to the base substrate and including a second contacting part facing the movable contact part [figures 1 and 2].

Claim 2, Aigner et al. discloses the micro-switching device according to claim 1, further comprising a first driving electrode [6] provided on the movable portion on a side opposite to the base substrate, and a second driving electrode [51, 52] fixed to the base substrate and including a section facing the first driving electrode.

Claim 4, Aigner et al. discloses the micro-switching device according to claim 1, wherein the extending part is made of monocrystalline silicon [col. 3 line 62 to col. 4 line 10].

Claim 7, Aigner et al. discloses a micro-switching device comprising a base substrate [1]; a movable portion [9] including an anchor part [4] and an extending part, the anchor part being connected to the base substrate, the part extending from the extending anchor part and facing the base substrate; a stationary member [2, 11] connected to the base substrate; a movable contact part [71, 72] provided on the extending part on a side opposite to the base substrate; a first stationary contact electrode [31a, 32a] connected to the stationary member and including a first contacting part facing the movable contact part; and a second stationary contact electrode [31b, 32b] connected to the stationary member and including a second contacting part facing the movable contact part [figures 1 and 2].

Claim 8, Aigner et al. discloses the micro-switching device according to claim 7, wherein the stationary member is spaced away from the movable portion [figures 1 and 2].

Claim 9, Aigner et al. discloses the micro-switching device according to claim 7, wherein the stationary member surrounds the movable portion [figure 1].

Claim 10, Aigner et al. discloses the micro-switching device according to claim 7, wherein the stationary member includes a plurality of stationary island parts that are spaced away from one another and are each connected to the base substrate [figure 1].

Claim 11, Aigner et al. discloses the micro-switching device according to claim 7, further comprising a first driving electrode [6] provided on the movable portion on a side opposite to the base substrate, and a second driving electrode [53, 54] connected to the stationary member and including a section facing the first driving electrode [figure 3].

Claim 12, Aigner et al. discloses the micro-switching device according to claim 7, wherein the extending part is made of monocrystalline silicon [col. 3 line 62 to col. 4 line 10].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aigner et al. [US 6,734,770].

Claims 5 and 13, Aigner et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the thickness of the contact electrode. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the thickness of the contact electrode to minimize signal distortion depending on the voltage and/or frequency of the signal. Since applicant has not disclosed that a contact electrode thickness of at least 5 micrometer solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well as taught by Aigner et al.

Claims 6 and 14, Aigner et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the thickness of the extending part. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the thickness of the extending part in order to change the spring characteristic/response time/opening force [i.e. thick = slower response time and greater opening force, smaller equals faster actuation time and small opening force] of the moveable part. Since applicant has not disclosed that a extending part thickness of at least 5 micrometer solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well as taught by Aigner et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:00), every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Rojas
Br

Elvin G. Enad
SPE-AU2832
01/23/06